

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. LEGAL STAFF P.O. BOX 5052 MAIL CODE: 480-410-202 TROY, MI 48007-5052

COPY MAILED

DEC 2 7 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Mostafa Abidi, Christian G. Hillard: and Remi B. Loevenbruck : DECISION REFUSING STATUS

Application No. 10/826,872 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)

Filed: April 16, 2004

For: EVAPORATED FUEL PROCESSING

DEVICE

This is in response to the "Request For Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)," filed December 16, 2004, (certificate of mailing: December 14, 2004).

The petition is dismissed.

Rule 47 applicant is given TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this decision to reply, correcting the below-noted deficiencies. Any reply should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)," and should only address the deficiencies noted below, except that the reply may include an oath or declaration executed by the non-signing inventor.

FAILURE TO RESPOND WILL RESULT IN ABANDONMENT OF THE APPLICATION. Any extensions of time will be governed by 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The above-identified application was filed on April 16, 2004 without an executed oath or declaration and naming Mostafa Abidi, Christian G. Hillard and Remi B. Loevenbruck as joint inventors.

Accordingly, on June 28, 2004, a "Notice to File Missing Parts of Application" was mailed, requiring an executed oath or declaration and a filing fee, and a surcharge for their late filing. On August 30, 2004, (certificate of mailing: August 27, 2004), a petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) was filed, however, the petition was dismissed on October 14, 2004. In response, on December 16, 2004, (certificate of mailing: December 14, 2004)

the instant "Request For Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)," was filed.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) requires:

- (1) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings);
- (2) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116;
- (3) the petition fee; and
- (4) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor.

Applicant lacks item (1), as forth above.

Applicant stated in the August 30, 2004 petition: "Mr. Abidi was contacted by phone by assignee's employee and indicated an unwillingness to cooperate in signing the Declaration." Furthermore, applicant now states in the Ramon Martin declaration, "I was informed by Mr. Loevenbruck that Mr. Loevenbruck had contacted Mr. Abidi to obtain his execution of the Declaration, and that Mr. Abidi had refused to sign the Declaration, for reasons relating to the termination of his employment."

Where a refusal of the inventor to sign the application papers is alleged, the circumstances of the presentation of the application papers and of the refusal must be specified in a statement of facts by the person who presented the inventor with the application papers and/or to whom the refusal was made. Statements by a party not present when an oral refusal is made will not be accepted. See MPEP 409.03(d). Applicant should submit a statement by assignee's employee that spoke with the nonsigning inventor and/or Mr. Loevenbruck that provides details of the conversation with the non-signing inventor in which the refusal to sign the Declaration was made.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop Petition

Commissioner for Patents

Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313

By FAX:

(703) 872-9306

Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand:

Customer Service Window 2011 South Clark Place

Crystal Plaza Two (left side entrance of building)

Arlington, VA

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3228.

Edward J. Tannouse

Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions

United States Patent and Trademark Office